

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,151	01/20/2004	Rolf Bruck	E-80109	5945
24131 I EDNIED CDE	7590 04/13/2007 EENBERG STEMER LLP	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480			MERKLING, MATTHEW J	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/762,151	BRUCK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Matthew J. Merkling	1709			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		th the correspondence address -			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commular period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ranication. Utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (188), by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 20 January 2004.	·			
'-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-32 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTQ-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	· •	າ received in this National Stage			
	application from the Internation	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies no	received.			
A441-						
Attachmen	et(s) Control of References Cited (PTO-892)	سختماما (۱	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (P10-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	FO-948) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/20/2004, 2/13/2004</u> .	6) Other:	·			

Art Unit: 1709

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - ١. Claims 1-20, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 180.
- 11. Claims 21-32, drawn to a method, classified in class 29, subclass 890. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a materially different process could make the honeycomb body such as using a brazing technique to join the sleeve blanks instead of welding.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Ralph Locher on 3/22/07 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,151

Art Unit: 1709

Claims 21-32 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - . The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the phrase "at least one of said inner and outer joining locations together have an extent..." is indefinite because the limitation "together" contradicts the limitation "at least one". For purposes of this examination, this will be interpreted as "said inner and outer joining locations together have an extent..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ota et al. (US 5,486,338).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,151

Art Unit: 1709

Regarding claim 1, Ota discloses

A honeycomb body (Fig. 2 (3)), comprising:

a casing tube (2);

a honeycomb structure (3) connected to said casing tube (2) and defining an axial portion (S) between said casing tube (2) and said honeycomb structure (3);

an inner sleeve (7) at least partially surrounding said honeycomb structure (3);

an outer sleeve (5) at least partially surrounding said honeycomb structure (3);

said inner (7) and outer (5) sleeves being disposed in said axial portion (Fig. 2); and

a plurality of joining locations (9a, 9b) adjacently interconnecting said honeycomb structure (3), said inner and outer sleeves and said casing tube to form an open spring/damper system from at least one of said sleeves (col. 4 lines 39-46).

Regarding claim 2, Ota further discloses that the honeycomb structure (3) is technically joined to the casing tube (2) (col. 3 line 66- col. 4 line 12).

Regarding claim 3, Ota further discloses a sleeve (5) having structure for compensation of changes in circumference of the honeycomb structure (3) (col. 2 lines 45-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,151

Art Unit: 1709

Regarding claim 4, Ota further illustrates structures of sleeves (5, 7) engaging in one another and adjacent structures of said sleeves bearing at least partially against each other.

Regarding claim 6, Ota further illustrates the inner sleeve (7) connected to the honeycomb structure (3) over an entire circumference of said honeycomb structure (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 7, Ota further discloses that the inner sleeve (7) is welded to the honeycomb structure over the entire circumference (col. 4 lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 8, Ota further illustrates a plurality of joining locations (9a, 9b) including inner joining locations (9b) between inner (7) and outer (5) sleeves and outer joining locations (9a) between said outer sleeve (5) and casing tube (2), being distributed uniformly over a circumference of said honeycomb structure (3), and directly adjacent inner and outer joining locations are mutually offset in circumferential direction (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 9 and 10, Ota further discloses an example of the thickness of the inner sleeve as being 50µm (col. 5 line 50).

Regarding claim 11, Ota further clearly illustrates (Fig. 3) that the extent in circumferential direction of the joining locations (9a, 9b) is less than 30% of a circumference of said honeycomb structure (3).

Regarding claim 12, Ota further clearly illustrates (Fig. 3) that the extent in circumferential direction of the joining locations (9a, 9b) is less than 20% of a circumference of said honeycomb structure (3).

Art Unit: 1709

Regarding claim 13, Ota further illustrates the inner and outer joining locations (9a, 9b) are mutually offset (see Fig. 1) in the axial direction of a honeycomb structure.

Regarding claim 14, Ota further discloses the axial length of the honeycomb structure (3b) as 70mm (col. 5 lines 50-57) and the axial portion as 70mm (see Fig 1) with the honeycomb structure completely enclosed in the casing (2), or 100%.

Regarding claim 15, Ota further discloses sheet metal layer being structured to form channels through which gas can flow (col. 4 lines 15-17).

Regarding claim 17, Ota further discloses the joining locations and sealing structures close off the annular gap between said casing tube (2) and said honeycomb structure (3) (See fig. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 18, Ota further illustrates one of the inner sleeve (7) and outer sleeve (5) as being mutually axially spaced apart.

Regarding claim 20, Ota discloses

A catalyst carrier body (Fig. 2 (3)), comprising:

a casing tube (2);

a honeycomb structure for carrying catalytic material for purifying an exhaust gas of an internal combustion engine (see title), a honeycomb structure (3) connected to said casing tube (2) and defining an axial portion (S) between said casing tube (2) and said honeycomb structure (3);

an inner sleeve (7) at least partially surrounding said honeycomb structure (3);

an outer sleeve (5) at least partially surrounding said honeycomb structure (3);

said inner (7) and outer (5) sleeves being disposed in said axial portion (Fig. 2); and

a plurality of joining locations (9a, 9b) adjacently interconnecting said honeycomb structure (3), said inner and outer sleeves and said casing tube to form an open spring/damper system from at least one of said sleeves (col. 4 lines 39-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al. (US 5,486,338).

Regarding claim 5, Ota, as discussed in claim 3 above, discloses all of the claim limitations in the first embodiment, but fails to teach the structures formed by corrugations in said sleeves.

Ota further discloses in another embodiment that the structure (compensation means) can consist of corrugated section in order to improve the buffer effect (col. 5 lines 7-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the cushion member sleeve of the first embodiment of Ota with the corrugated sleeve of another embodiment of Ota in order to improve the buffer effect.

11. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al. (US 5,486,338) as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Yamada et al. (US 2001/0036427).

Regarding claim 16, Ota, as discussed in claim 15 above, discloses all of the claim limitations, but does not teach the channel density of at least 800 cspi or a sheet metal thickness smaller than 0.025 mm.

Yamada also discloses a honeycomb shaped catalyst carrier used for purifying exhaust gas.

Yamada teaches the channel density of 300-1200 cspi and the sheet metal thickness as low as 0.02-0.1mm (paragraph 10). One skilled in the art would recognize that having a thinner sheet metal thickness and greater channel density allows for a high geometric catalyst surface, which allows for a significantly fast diffusion of exhaust pollutants (as is discussed in US 6,780,805, col. 7 lines 27-42).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the high channel density of 1200 cspi and the low sheet metal thickness of 0.02mm of Yamada into the honeycomb structure of Ota in order to increase catalyst surface and allow for fast diffusion of exhaust pollutants.

12. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al. (US 5,486,338) applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wieres (WO 97/15393).

Regarding claim 19, Ota, as discussed in claim 1 above, discloses all of the claim limitations, but fails to teach microstructure on one of the inner or outer sleeves.

Wieres also teaches a honeycomb structure used for purification of exhaust gas.

Weires teaches a sleeve wrapped on the outside of the honeycomb structure (Fig. 1) that incorporates microstructures (5) in order to provide significant mechanical reinforcement of the thin metal sheet (2) (page 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the microstructures of Wieres to the inner sleeve (7) of Ota in order to provide significant mechanical reinforcement to the sleeve.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,151 Page 10

Art Unit: 1709

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Merkling whose telephone number is 571-272-9813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30-4:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa D. Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJM

ALEXA D. NECKEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Llea Vecker